

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				/	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/730,253	12/09/2003	Goran Martensson	TPP 30448DIV5	3355	
7590 12/30/2004 Thomas P. Pavelko, Esquire			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, CHI Q		
STEVENS, DAVIS, MILLER & MOSHER, L.L.P.				D. DED . W. I. (DED	
Suite 850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1615 L. Street, N.W.			3635		
Washington, DC 20036 DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004				4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/730,253	MARTENSSON	GORAN				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	, 0010111				
		2025					
	Chi Q Nguyen	3635	My J				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sneet with the co	orrespondence ad	aress ∨				
This application is abandoned in view of:							
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on</li> <li>(a)  A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on</li> </ol>							
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does	not constitute a proper reply under 37	7 CFR 1.113 (a) to t	the final rejection.				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).							
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).							
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.							
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ol>							
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).							
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance							
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$							
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.							
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).							
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.							
(b) \( \subseteq \text{No corrected drawings have been received.} \)							
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire in	nterest, or all of				
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR				
<ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair</li> </ol>		e the period for see	king court review				
7. The reason(s) below:							
Cls: Ngry 12/7/04							

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 2